

## ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. <i>11/20/00.9</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	29 SEP 1963
2.		
3.		
4. <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>		
5. <i>Registry</i>		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS



STAT

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)  
Prescribed by GSA

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET				
SUBJECT: (Optional) Supplemental Questions to CI Analysis Study				
FROM: Acting EO/DDA 7D-18 Hqs.		EXTENSION: 	NO. DDA 83-2025/1	DATE: 29 Sep 83
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE: RECEIVED: FORWARDED:	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1.	D/Communications 2A-03 Hqs.			<p>Although you had no response to an earlier memo on the Terms of Reference for SSCI Directed Study of Intelligence Community Counterintelligence Analysis Efforts, the attached supplemental questions to the CI Analysis Study are being provided to you so that you may decide if comments from your Office are appropriate. Pls advise this office by phone re your response. Thanks.</p> <p>Distribution:            0 - Adse w/att            1 - DDA Subject w/att            1 - EO Chrono w/o att            EO/DDA/ba (29Sep83)</p> <p>DD/A REGISTRY            FILE: </p>
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Supplemental Questions to CI Analysis Study

FROM:

Acting EO/DDA  
7D-18 Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DDA 83-2025/1

DATE

29 September 1983

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S

INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. D/Security  
Attn: C/PPG  
4F-60 Hqs.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.


15.

After reviewing the attached, pls advise this office by phone. OS will respond to the supplemental questions. If so, pls forward the response in the same manner as OS 3-2252 (your earlier paper on this subject).

## Distribution:

0 - Attn w/att  
1 - BDA Subj w/att  
1 - EO Chrono w/o att  
EO/DDA/ba (29Sep83)

The Director of Central Intelligence  
Washington, D.C. 20505



Intelligence Community Staff

D/ICS-83-0735  
27 September 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Distribution

FROM:

[Redacted box]

STAT

Chief, Community Counterintelligence Staff

SUBJECT: Supplemental Questions to CI Analysis Study

REFERENCE: My memorandum, 9 August 1983, subject: Terms of  
Reference for SSCI-Directed DCI Study of  
Intelligence Community Counterintelligence  
Analysis Efforts (D/ICS-83-0717)

1. Attached are supplemental questions relevant to reference. Addressees are requested to review and respond, where appropriate, not later than 3 October 1983.

2. A review of both the initial input to cited reference and various documents expressing Congressional concern about "MDCI" analysis has identified certain information shortfalls. Additional data is required to accurately reflect the views of the Community in response to these Congressional concerns. It is realized that questions asked may apply to addressees in varying degrees. Cooperation in providing opinions and experiences is enjoined.

a. A more substantive articulation of the Government's "MDCI" needs is required. In particular, but not exclusively, additional attention must be given to the Congressional question: How is the security of vital systems protected and tested? Your thoughtful response to Issue Question I and its subsets is solicited.

b. More direct and amplifying information is required on present Community involvement in "MDCI" analysis and its ancillary countermeasures process. Issue Question II and its subsets reflect the direction of additional information

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

needs. Particular attention to the aspect of "MDCI" analysis follow-up is requested.

c. A more demonstrable expression is required of the apparent Community consensus that MD threat analysis is of value. Issue Question III and its subsets indicate the kind of information/examples needed to more professionally make this point.

3. Where applicable, addressees are encouraged to cross-reference responses to information previously provided. Initial input information is of value insofar as it goes. Additional information requested should be viewed as a requirement for expansion in scope and detail.

4. To assist in managing completion of the first draft, addressees are requested to telephonically advise CCIS of intent to respond to Attachment

1. The principal CCIS action officer is

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

STAT  
STAT

STAT

Attachment:  
a/s

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Attachment

**"MDCI" ANALYSIS STUDY**

**DCI TASK:** Complete an in-depth report on how best to meet the needs of the U.S. Government for multidiscipline counterintelligence analysis ("MDCI").

- I. What are the "MDCI" analysis needs of the U.S. Government:
  - a. How is or should the security of systems, facilities, etc., considered vital by your agency's standards, be protected (analyzed, countermeasured) and tested?
  - b. Should such systems, facilities, etc., be prioritized for "MDCI" analysis? How and by whom?
  - c. Discuss any need you may have for an "MDCI" analysis approach on sensitive aspects of your activities other than those considered vital.
  - d. Discuss the desirability of a central audit procedure wherein follow-up is provided to ensure and assess corrective actions resulting from "MDCI" analysis. (See II.C. for what your organization may now do.)
- II. Is multidiscipline analysis, for CI or countermeasure purposes ("MDCI"), done by your organization?
  - a. Describe your organization's present "MDCI" analysis on systems (weapons, intelligence, technology, etc.) or other sensitive aspects considered vital or critical by your organization's standards.
  - b. If your organization does not perform "MDCI" analysis on the vital/critical aspects of your total operations, who does and how?
  - c. What follow-up (audit procedure) is provided to "MDCI" analysis conducted on aspects of your operations considered vital/critical by your organization's standards? (Who gets "MDCI" analysis reports? What consideration is given to them? What action is taken?)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

d. Identify vital/critical aspects of your organization which would benefit from an "MDCI" analytical approach (general/generic ID, i.e., weapon systems, technological development, intelligence systems, communications facilities, etc.).

III. Of what value is multidiscipline analysis for CI/CM purposes?

a. What benefits or results have been obtained as a result of conducting or contributing to multidiscipline analysis for counterintelligence or countermeasure purposes?

b. Where possible, give tangible examples of the detection, blocking or elimination of an HOIS effort or threat.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Distribution:

The Honorable William H. Webster  
Director, Federal Bureau of  
Investigation

General Richard G. Stilwell, USA  
(Ret.)  
Deputy Under Secretary of Defense  
for Policy

Lieutenant General Lincoln D. Faurer,  
USAF  
Director, National Security Agency

Major General William E. Odom, USA  
Assistant Chief of Staff  
(Intelligence)  
Department of the Army

Rear Admiral John L. Butts, USN  
Director of Naval Intelligence

Brigadier General Richard S. Beyea,  
USAF  
Commander, Office of Special  
Investigations  
Department of the Air Force

Lieutenant General James A. Williams,  
USA  
Director, Defense Intelligence  
Agency

Mr. Hugh Montgomery  
Director, Bureau of Intelligence and  
Research  
Department of State

Ms. Mary C. Lawton  
Counsel for Intelligence Policy  
Office of Intelligence Policy and Review  
Department of Justice

Mr. Sherman Unger  
General Counsel  
Department of Commerce

Mr. Martin J. Dowd  
Director, Division of Security  
Office of Safeguards and Security  
Department of Energy

Mr. Robert M. Gates  
Deputy Director for Intelligence  
Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. Harry E. Fitzwater  
Deputy Director for Administration  
Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. John H. Stein  
Deputy Director for Operations  
Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. Richard Evan Hineman  
Deputy Director for Science and Technology  
Central Intelligence Agency

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



CCIS/ICS

STAT

Distribution of D/ICS-83-0735 (all w/att):

- 1 - Each Addressee
- 1 - Kenneth deGraffenreid, NSC Staff
- 1 - D/ICS and DD/ICS
- 1 - ICS Registry
- 1 - CCIS subject
- 1 - C/CCIS chrono

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY